Intonvious Summans

Application No.

10/828,912	FALCON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
CI C N	0000

Applicant(s)

interview Summary				
,	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Samuel G. Neway	2626		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) Samuel G. Neway.	(3)			
(2) <u>Jacob Rohwer</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 07 November 2008.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 20.				
Identification of prior art discussed: Schmid et al (US PGPub 2003/0234818).				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the ineffective communication</u> .			was	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERED REPLACED FOR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERED REPLACED FOR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERED FOR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERED FOR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERED FOR THE SUBSTANCE OF	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS HIS	